Minutes of the Antrim Planning Board Meeting December 29, 1987

Subject: Special meeting to vote to present proposed Zoning Amendments to the Public at Public Hearing.

Present: John Jones, William MacCulloch, Mike Oldershaw, Sr., Robert Watterson, Philip McClintock, Harvey Goodwin, Acting Chairman, and Lloyd Henderson, Planning Board Counsel.

Harvey Goodwin presented the Board with an analysis of the Zoning Districts, permitted uses, size of lot, set backs, etc. It was also noted that a Notice of Public Hearing has been sent to the Messenger and Transcript for publication Thursday, December 31, 1987, for a hearing to be held January 13, 1988. The timing is such that two public hearings may be held. Harvey Goodwin pointed out that while the document is not perfect it has addressed problems in the document now in force, and should be presented to the public for discussion. Any changes that come about as a result of that hearing can be made between the first and second hearings. Counsel Lloyd Henderson recommended that the document be voted on, as proposed, and problems to be handled at a later date. The final form should be voted on after the second public hearing.

Robert Watterson asked about the dissemination of information so that the Public can make an informed decision. Copies of the Proposed Ordinance will be available at the Town Hall for public inspection.

The Chairman said that everybody should be knowledgable about the whole Ordinance, but Board members will take a specific part of the Ordinance and be able to field questions on that section.

Harvey Goodwin, Articles I, II, IV
John Jones Article III
William MacCulloch, Article V
Robert Watterson, Articles VI, VII
Rachel Reinstein, Article VIII
Rod Zwirner, Article IX

David Penny, Articles X, XIII, XVI Mike Oldershaw, Sr., Article XI Philip McClintock, Article XII Mary Allen, Article XIV Lloyd Henderson, Article XV

John Jones moved that the Proposed Antrim Zoning Ordinance be heard at a Public Hearing January 13, 1988. The motion passed unanimously.

Selectman John Jones mentioned to the Board the fact that there has been some talk in the area about proposed changes to Route 9. He also made the Board aware of the fact that Breezy Point had applied for a Building Permit for Co-operative apartments in the Inn at Breezy Point. The question was raised, what is a Co-op and how will the posted Zoning Changes apply?

Meeting adjourned at 8:35 P.M.

Respectfully submitted

Barbara L. Elia, Secretary

ZONING ORDINANCE WORKING GROUP

Public Hearing - December 17, 1987 On Rural Conservation Zone

Harvey Goodwin, ading chairman of the Planning Board:

M. Allen, M. Oldershaw, W. MacCulloch, R. Watterson, J. Jones,

R. Reinstein, R. Zwirner, D. Penny and R. Panton, SWRPC

Harvey Goodwin explained that the provisions of the existing ordinance do not answer present-day needs and read the proposed provisions for a new Rural Conservation Zone.

The following concerns were expressed by:

Mr. McCartin - Protect scenic roads

N. Merrifield - Would like 5a. lots in entire zone

Supports idea of such a zone reful to avoid litigation B. Lauber - Be careful to avoid litigation

This is a contrast with Recreation Zone

J. Miller - Can Gregg Lake Road be improved? H. Page - Route 9 is not a remote area Why no clustering in this zone? We should follow Francestown and Amherst rules, using Hillsborough Co. Soil Service Frontage is too small for 5 a. Lot area should be maximum 25% (not minimum) Make home occupations special exemptions Extend Recreation area along all major streams No salt on roads

P. Merrifield - Distance from water should be 100° in Recreational Zone Types of agricultural activities should be specified

S. Craig - Questions - ownership of old Town Beach

Trees on scenic roads, e.g. Craig Rd. G. Woodworth - How many acres in proposed new zone? Ans. About half the town acreage.

P. Webber - grade equals ? degrees?
N. Sharby - Is a 3a. minimum legal? - LH doubts Will this stop develop ent? LH Subdivision regulations would control. HG and RP doubt.

Jean and Frank Berry - questions on Recreation Zone

E. Chamberlain - 5a. is too large

R. Zwirner/- Suggests extending Conservation area west from N. Branch to Windsor Line

had will and the employed had been been a section of the first of the section of

R. Panton - The decision must be made as to whether this new zone

is necessary or is old rural zone adequate.

D. Chambers - Town should support this idea. There was a general raising of hands to consur.

After the hearing was closed the working group voted three to four to use the article on a Rural Conservation Zone.